

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/715,316	11/17/2003	Ciprian Agapi	BOC9-2003-0100 (1082-11U)	5087	
	7590 11/01/200 RIGHEZ GREENBER		EXAM	IINER	
STEVEN M. G	CAREY, RODRIGUEZ, GREENBERG & PAUL, LLP STEVEN M. GREENBERG			AZAD, ABUL K	
950 PENINSUI SUITE 3020	LA CORPORATE CIR	A CORPORATE CIRCLE		PAPER NUMBER	
BOCA RATON	N, FL 33487		2626		
•					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/01/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary					
		10/715,316	AGAPI ET AL.		
	emeer cammary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ABUL K. AZAD	2626		
Period fo		ears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Au</u>	<u>ıgust 2007</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims		•		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Application	on Papers				
10) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage		
Attachment	(s)				
Notice Notice Notice Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

## Unit: 2626

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

- 1. This action is in response to the communication filed on August 3, 2007.
- 2. Claims 1-29 are pending in this action. Claims 1, 11 and 20 have been amended.
- 3. The applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-29 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. For examiner's response to the applicant's arguments or comments, see the detailed discussion in the Response to the Arguments section.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Marx et al. (US Patent 6,173,266).

As per claim 1 Max et al. teaches, a method of defining standard catch styles used in generating speech application code for managing catch events (Title: System and method for developing interactive speech applications) the method comprising the steps of:

presenting a style-selection menu that allows for selection of one or more catch styles, each catch style corresponding to a system response to a catch event (Fig. 9; 930 Features and Fig. 11; 1100 Features input box), the catch event comprising at least

Art Unit: 2626

one event in which a user entry is not understood occurring during a dialog turn the event being selected from the group consisting of a user request for help, a non-input entry, and a non-matching entry (col. 3, lines 39-65); and

upon selection of a catch style, preparing the system response for each catch event. (Fig. 11, displaying information about the various features that may be enabled in specific Dialogue Module instance 850; Col. 18 lines 18-22).

As per claim 2 Max et al. teaches, wherein the step of preparing the system response for each catch event comprises: presenting one or more text fields for receiving a contextual message, (Fig. 16 input fields for Error Recovery 1600) the contextual message entered in each text field corresponding to a new audio message to be played in response to the particular catch event if the selected catch style requires playing of the new audio message in response to a particular catch event. (A developer uses the Dialogue Modules to perform their respective dialogue tasks in a Service 410. Each Dialogue Module may use default configuration parameters or may be customized for specific Services. Parameters of a Dialogue Module instance may be customized to, for example, output customized prompts, recognize customized vocabularies in response to prompts, enable or disable specific features, and set a variety of additional parameters. Col. 6 lines 53-60).

As per claim 3 Max et al. teaches, wherein the entered contextual message is different for each catch event. (The reprompt after a timeout apology prompt may say, "Please say your answer now," whereas the reprompt after a recognition error apology prompt may say, "Please repeat your answer now." Still other variations may be

Art Unit: 2626

provided depending on the number and type of prior failures. For example, after a second consecutive timeout, the apology prompt may be, 'Tm sorry, I still couldn't hear you," followed by the same reprompt, "Please say your answer now." Col. 13 lines 50-57).

As per claim 4 Max et al. teaches, wherein the entered contextual message is the same for each catch event. (As explained below, the error recovery process is customizable for specific instances of Dialogue Modules in a Service. For example, at block 640, a Dialogue Module determines whether to reattempt to collect a response using the same method 610 as represented by path 640a; Col. 13 lines 25-30).

As per claim 5 Max et al. teaches, wherein the step of preparing the system response for each catch event comprises replaying a system prompt if the selected catch style does not require playing of a new audio message in response to a particular catch event. (As explained below, the error recovery process is customizable for specific instances of Dialogue Modules in a Service. For example, at block 640, a Dialogue Module determines whether to reattempt to collect a response using the same method 610 as represented by path 640a; Col. 13 lines 25-30).

As per claim 6 Max et al. teaches, wherein the style-selection menu further includes a field reciting details about the one or more catch styles. (Fig. 16, Reprompt input field; The reprompt after a timeout apology prompt may say, "Please say your answer now," whereas the reprompt after a recognition error apology prompt may say, "Please repeat your answer now." Still other variations may be provided depending on the number and type of prior failures. For example, after a second consecutive timeout.

Art Unit: 2626

the apology prompt may be, "I'm sorry, I still couldn't hear you," followed by the same reprompt, "Please say your answer now." Col. 13 lines 50-57).

As per claim 7 Max et al. teaches, wherein the style-selection menu further includes a field identifying a final action to be taken if the catch event is not corrected by a user. (Fig. 16, Timeout, Recognition or Confirmation input field; 6. Termination: A Dialogue Module instance terminates either successfully at block 670 or unsuccessfully at block 680 and saves its Termination Condition. For example, Termination Conditions may include SUCCESS (for successful completion of the dialogue task), TIMEOUT (for expiration of a threshold number of time out periods), and ERROR (for unsuccessful attempts to recognize a caller's response). Col. 14 lines 1-9).

As per claim 8 Max et al teaches, wherein the style-selection menu further includes a control for inserting variables in the contextual message (Fig. 16 Apology Prompts 1-3 or Reprompts 1-3).

As per claim 9 Max et al. teaches, wherein the style-selection menu further includes controls for inserting programmed pauses of specified duration values in the contextual message (In the embodiment illustrated in FIG. 4, the Speech Output Components 440 output speech prompts (or other audio signals) through the Telephony Interface Components 460. In some cases, the Speech Output Components 440 may simply execute a specified audio file to output prerecorded speech. Alternatively, the Speech Output Components 440 may include a speech synthesis system, such as DECtalk.TM., a text-to-speech synthesizer that is available from Digital Equipment Corporation for converting text to speech. Commercially available speech synthesizers

typically include a pronunciation dictionary and a speech generator to interpret an input text string, determine a pronunciation, and generate and output a speech waveform.

Col. 7 lines 41-11; For example, the apology prompt after a timeout may be, "I'm sorry, I didn't hear you," whereas the apology prompt after a recognition error may be, "I'm sorry for not understanding you." Similarly, the reprompts following the apology prompts may vary. The reprompt after a timeout apology prompt may say, "Please say your answer now," whereas the reprompt after a recognition error apology prompt may say, "Please repeat your answer now." Still other variations may be provided depending on the number and type of prior failures. For example, after a second consecutive timeout, the apology prompt may be, "rm sorry, I still couldn't hear you," followed by the same reprompt, "Please say your answer now." Col. 13 lines 50-57).

As per claim I0 Max et al teaches, wherein the style-selection menu further includes a control to enable acceleration of a system timeout upon occurrence of a help catch event. (Fig. 16; Timeout Period).

As per claim 11 Max et al. teaches, a system for managing catch events in a speech application, the system comprising a computer, the computer including an interface having a style-selection template for selecting one of one or more catch styles, wherein each catch style corresponds to a system response to a catch event. (Fig. 16).

As per claim 12 Max et al teaches, wherein the interface further comprises one or more text fields for receiving a contextual message, wherein the contextual message entered in each text field corresponds to a new audio message to play in response to the particular catch event. (A developer uses the Dialogue Modules to perform their

Art Unit: 2626

respective dialogue tasks in a Service 410. Each Dialogue Module may use default configuration parameters or may be customized for specific Services. Parameters of a Dialogue Module instance may be customized to, for example, output customized prompts, recognize customized vocabularies in response to prompts, enable or disable specific features, and set a variety of additional parameters. Col. 6 lines 53-60).

As per claim 13 Max et al. teaches, wherein the contextual message is different for each catch event. (The reprompt after a timeout apology prompt may say, "Please say your answer now," whereas the reprompt after a recognition error apology prompt may say, "Please repeat your answer now." Still other variations may be provided depending on the number and type of prior failures. For example, after a second consecutive timeout, the apology prompt may be, "I'm sorry, I still couldn't hear you," followed by the same reprompt, "Please say your answer now." Col. 13 lines 50-57).

As per claim 14 Max et al. teaches, wherein the contextual message is the same for each catch event. (As explained below, the error recovery process is customizable for specific instances of Dialogue Modules in a Service. For example, at block 640, a Dialogue Module determines whether to reattempt to collect a response using the same method 610 as represented by path 640a; Col. 13 lines 25-30).

As per claim 15 Max et al. teaches, wherein the interface further includes a field reciting details about the one or more catch styles. (The reprompt after a timeout apology prompt may say, "Please say your answer now," whereas the reprompt after a recognition error apology prompt may say, "Please repeat your answer now." Still other variations may be provided depending on the number and type of prior failures. For

Art Unit: 2626

example, after a second consecutive timeout, the apology prompt may be, "I'm sorry, I still couldn't hear you," followed by the same reprompt, "Please say your answer now." Col. 13 lines 50-57).

As per claim 16 Max et al. teaches, wherein the interface further includes a field identifying a final action to be taken if the catch event is not corrected by a user. (6. Termination: A Dialogue Module instance terminates either successfully at block 670 or unsuccessfully at block 680 and saves its Termination Condition. For example, Termination Conditions may include SUCCESS (for successful completion of the dialogue task), TIMEOUT (for expiration of a threshold number of time out periods), and ERROR (for unsuccessful attempts to recognize a caller's response). Col. 14 lines 1-9).

As per claim 17 Max et al teaches, wherein the style-selection interface further includes a control for inserting variables in the contextual message: (Fig. 16 Apology Prompts 1-3 or Reprompts I-3).

As per claim 18 Max et al. teaches, wherein the style-selection interface further includes controls for inserting programmed pauses of specified duration values in the contextual message. (In the embodiment illustrated in FIG. 4, the Speech Output Components 440 output speech prompts (or other audio signals) through the Telephony Interface Components 460. in some cases, the Speech Output Components 440 may simply execute a specified audio file to output prerecorded speech. Alternatively, the Speech Output Components 440 may include a speech synthesis system, such as DECtalk.TM., a text-to-speech synthesizer that is available from Digital Equipment Corporation for converting text to speech. Commercially available speech synthesizers

Art Unit: 2626

typically include a pronunciation dictionary and a speech generator to interpret an input text string, determine a pronunciation, and generate and output a speech waveform.

Col. 7 lines 41-11; For example, the apology prompt after a timeout may be, "I'm sorry, I didn't hear you," whereas the apology prompt after a recognition error may be, 'Tm sorry for not understanding you." Similarly, the reprompts following the apology prompts may vary. The reprompt after a timeout apology prompt may say, "Please say your answer now," whereas the reprompt after a recognition error apology prompt may say, "Please repeat your answer now." Still other variations may be provided depending on the number and type of prior failures. For example, after a second consecutive timeout, the apology prompt may be, "I'm sorry, ! still couldn't hear you," followed by the same reprompt, "Please say your answer now." Col. 13 lines 50-57).

As per claim 19 Max et al. teaches, wherein the style-selection interface further includes a control to enable acceleration of a system timeout upon occurrence of a help catch event. (Fig. 16; Timeout Period).

As per claim 20 Max et al. teaches, a machine readable storage medium storing a computer program which when executed defines standard catch styles used in generating speech application code for managing catch events (Title: System and method for developing interactive speech applications), the computer program performing a method comprising the steps of:

presenting a style-selection menu that allows for selection of one or more catch styles, wherein each catch style corresponds to a system response to a catch event, (Fig. 9; 930 Features and Fig. II; 1100 Features input box) the catch event comprising at

Art Unit: 2626

least one event in which a user entry is not understood occurring during a dialog turn the event being selected from the group consisting of a user request for help, a non-input entry, and a non-matching entry (col. 3, lines 39-56); and

preparing the system response for each catch event upon selection of a catch style. (Fig. 11, displaying information about the various features that may be enabled in specific Dialogue Module instance 850; Col. 18 lines 18-22).

As per claim 21 Max et al. teaches, wherein the step of preparing the system response for each catch event comprises: presenting one or more text fields for receiving a textual message, wherein the contextual message entered in each text field corresponds to the new audio message that will be played in response to the particular catch event if the selected catch style requires playing of a new audio message in response to a particular catch event. (A developer uses the Dialogue Modules to perform their respective dialogue tasks in a Service 410. Each Dialogue Module may use default configuration parameters or may be customized for specific Services.

Parameters of a Dialogue Module instance may be customized to, for example, output customized prompts, recognize customized vocabularies in response to prompts, enable or disable specific features, and set a variety of additional parameters. Col. 6 lines 53-60).

As per claim 22 Max et al. teaches, wherein the entered contextual message is different for each catch event. (The reprompt after a timeout apology prompt may say, "Please say your answer now," whereas the reprompt after a recognition error apology prompt may say, "Please repeat your answer now." Still other variations may be

Art Unit: 2626

provided depending on the number and type of prior failures. For example, after a second consecutive timeout, the apology prompt may be, "I'm sorry, I still couldn't hear you," followed by the same reprompt, "Please say your answer now." Col. 13 lines 50-57).

As per claim 23 Max et al. teaches, wherein the entered contextual message is the Same for each catch event. (As explained below, the error recovery process is customizable for specific instances of Dialogue Modules in a Service. For example, at block 640, a Dialogue Module determines whether to reattempt to collect a response using the same method 610 as represented by path 640a; Col. 13 lines 25- 3o).

As per claim 24 Max et al. teaches, wherein the step of preparing the system response for each catch event comprises replaying a system prompt if the selected catch style does not require playing of a new audio message in response to a particular catch event (As explained below, the error recovery process is customizable for specific instances of Dialogue Modules in a Service. For example, at block 640, a Dialogue Module determines whether to reattempt to collect a response using the same method 610 as represented by path 640a; Col. 13 lines 25-30).

As per claim 25 Max et al teaches, wherein the style-selection menu further includes a field reciting details about the one or more catch styles (The reprompt after a timeout apology prompt may say, "Please say your answer now," whereas the reprompt after a recognition error apology prompt may say, "Please repeat your answer now." Still other variations may be provided depending on the number and type of prior failures. For example, after a second consecutive timeout, the apology prompt may be

Art Unit: 2626

"I'm sorry, ! still couldn't hear you," followed by the same reprompt, "Please say your answer now." Col. 13 lines 50-57).

As per claim 26 Max et al teaches, wherein the style-selection menu further includes a field identifying a final action to be taken if the catch event is not corrected by a user. (6. Termination: A Dialogue Module instance terminates either suc.cessfully at block 670 or unsuccessfully at block 680 and saves its Termination Condition. For example, Termination Conditions may include SUCCESS (for successful completion of the dialogue task), TIMEOUT (for expiration of a threshold number of time out periods), and ERROR (for unsuccessful attempts to recognize a caller's response). Col. 14 lines I-9).

As per claim 27 Max et al. teaches, wherein the style-selection menu further includes a control for inserting variables in the contextual message. (Fig. 16 APology Prompts 1-3 or Reprompts 1-3).

As per claim 28 Max et al. teaches, the machine readable storage medium of claim 20, wherein the style-selection menu further includes controls for inserting programmed pauses of specified duration values in the contextual message (In the embodiment illustrated in FIG. 4, the Speech Output Components 440 output speech prompts (or other audio signals) through the Telephony Interface Components 460. In some cases, the Speech Output Components 440 may simply execute a specified audio file to output prerecorded speech. Alternatively, the Speech Output Components 440 may include a speech synthesis system, such as DECtalk.TM., a text-to-speech synthesizer that is available from Digital Equipment Corporation for converting text to

Art Unit: 2626

speech. Commercially available speech synthesizers typically include a pronunciation dictionary and a speech generator to interpret an input text string, determine a pronunciation, and generate and output a speech waveform. Col. 7 lines 41-11; For example, the apology prompt after a timeout may be, "I'm sorry, I didn't hear you," whereas the apology prompt after a recognition error may be, "I'm sorry for not understanding you." Similarly, the reprompts following the apology prompts may vary. The reprompt after a timeout apology prompt may say, "Please say your answer now," whereas the reprompt after a recognition error apology prompt may say, "Please repeat your answer now." Still other variations may be provided depending on the number and type of prior failures. For example, after a second consecutive timeout, the apology prompt may be, "I'm sorry, I still couldn't hear you," followed by the same reprompt, "Please say your answer now." Col. 13 lines 50-57).

As per claim 29 Max et al. teaches, wherein the style-selection menu further includes a control to enable acceleration of a system timeout upon occurrence of a help catch event (Fig. 16; Timeout Period).

## Response to Arguments

6. The applicant argues, "the Applicants direct the Examiner's attention to the expressly recited portion of the amended claim, "the catch event comprising at least one event in which a user entry is not understood occurring during a dialog turn " In Marx, no such response to a "catch event" is provided. Rather, in Marx, specifically, Figures 9

Art Unit: 2626

and 11 and the accompanying text of column 18, lines 17 through 29, only a dialog of features which can be enabled for a module instance is described. Those features include barge in threshold and beep after prompt, to name a couple".

The examiner disagrees with the applicant's assertion because Marx teaches above limitation. The examiner notes that the applicant define at page 1, of the specification, paragraph 0002, "where standard catch events are defined as user requests for help, a non-input entry, in which the system does not receive any user response, or a non-matching entry, in which the user entry is not understood, that may occur during a given dialog turn." Therefore, the *catch event* claimed by applicant is known to the applicant. Similarly, Marx teaches the catch event comprising at least one event in which a user entry is not understood occurring during a dialog turn, reads at col. 3, lines 46-65.

### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2626

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

# **Contact Information**

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Abul K. Azad** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-7599.** If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Patric Edouard**, can be reached at **(571) 272-7603.** 

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

**Commissioner for Patents** 

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to: (571) 273-8300.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to **401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA-22314** (Customer Service Window).

Page 15

Art Unit: 2626

Page 16

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

October 27, 2007

Abul K. Azad Primary Examiner Art Unit 2626